

Differences between current state (August 2013) of ISO/DIS 21127 and CIDOC CRM 5.1 (Draft), May 2013

*Note:* this document only lists differences in the class and property declarations, not in the liminary sections (Introduction, Scope, etc.).

*Note2:* insignificant differences in wording are omitted.

*Note3:* such differences that might have an impact on the CIDOC CRM itself are highlighted in yellow.

#### E7 Activity

The two Kira Weber examples were not included for lack of time.

#### E29 Design or Procedure

The mistake in the Scope Note (“E24 Physical Thing” instead of “E24 Physical Man-Made Thing”) was corrected. But the new label of property P69 was not introduced for lack of time.

#### E35 Title

The example “La Pie or The Magpie” was split into two distinct instances of E35 Title: “La Pie, Effet de Neige” and “The Magpie”

#### E39 Actor

The 3<sup>rd</sup> example was corrected (from “Sir Ian McKellan” to “Sir Ian McKellen”)

#### E41 Appellation

The Chinese example was not introduced for lack of time.

#### E54 Dimension

The (incorrect) example “taille au garot” [*sic*] was replaced with “height at the withers”.

#### E87

The label retained is just “Curation”, instead of “Curation Activity”.

First paragraph of Scope Note slightly different.

#### E90 Symbolic Object

For lack of time, the fourth paragraph of Scope Note was not introduced.

#### P2 has type (is type of)

New example was not substituted for the older one, for lack of time.

#### P5 consists of (forms part of)

Example left uncorrected for lack of time.

#### P14

Label is “was carried out by (performed)” instead of “carried out by (performed)”.

#### P16 used specific object (was used for)

Scope Note slightly different.

#### P19 was intended use of (was made for)

Scope Note refers to P103 instead of P101.

#### P22, P23, P24

In all three declarations, “Amoudrouz” was corrected into “Amoudruz” in the Examples.

#### P25 moved (moved by)

The Example was dropped from the Scope Note, and left as an Example only (principle of no-redundancy between Scope Note and Examples).

#### P28

Label retained: “transferred custody from (surrendered custody through)”, instead of: “custody surrendered by (surrendered custody through)”.

#### P29

Label retained: “transferred custody to (received custody through)”, instead of: “custody received by (received custody through)”.

P30

Label retained: “transferred custody of (changed custody through)”, instead of: “transferred custody of (custody transferred through)”.

P33

Label retained: “used specific technique (was technique of)” instead of “used specific technique (was used by)” (for the sake of consistency with P20 and P21).

Last paragraph of Scope Note left unchanged for lack of time.

First Example slightly rephrased.

P50 has current keeper (is current keeper of)

First paragraph of Scope Note rephrased as follows: “This property is intended to identify the E39 Actor or Actors who have custody of an instance of E18 Physical Thing at the present time. This information cannot be assumed to remain valid indefinitely, its reliability may depend on the time the property was instantiated.” (instead of: “This property identifies the E39 Actor or Actors who had custody of an instance of E18 Physical Thing at the time of validity of the record or database containing the statement that uses this property.”).

P52 has current owner (is current owner of)

First paragraph of Scope Note rephrased as follows: “This property is intended to identify the E21 Person, E74 Group or E40 Legal Body who is the owner of an instance of E18 Physical Thing at the present time. This information cannot be assumed to remain valid indefinitely, its reliability may depend on the time the property was instantiated.” (instead of: “This property identifies the E21 Person, E74 Group or E40 Legal Body that was the owner of an instance of E18 Physical Thing at the time of validity of the record or database containing the statement that uses this property.”).

P54 has current permanent location (is current permanent location of)

First paragraph of Scope Note rephrased as follows: “This property is intended to record the permanent location that is foreseen, at the present time, for an instance of E19 Physical Object. This information cannot be assumed to remain valid indefinitely, its reliability may depend on the time the property was instantiated.” (instead of: “This property records the foreseen permanent location of an instance of E19 Physical Object at the time of validity of the record or database containing the statement that uses this property.”).

P55 has current location (currently holds)

First paragraph of Scope Note rephrased as follows: “This property is intended to record the present location of an instance of E19 Physical Object. This information cannot be assumed to remain valid indefinitely, its reliability may depend on the time the property was instantiated.” (instead of:

"This property records the location of an E19 Physical Object at the time of validity of the record or database containing the statement that uses this property.").

P56 bears feature (is found on)

Statement about quantification was dropped from Scope Note, as it was redundant with Quantification properly said.

P58 has section definition (defines section)

Statement about quantification was dropped from Scope Note, as it was redundant with Quantification properly said.

P69

Label remains "is associated with", instead of the new label "has association with (is associated with)", for lack of time.

P72 has language (is language of)

Example remains unchanged for lack of time.

P82

Label retained: "falls at some time within" (instead of: "at some time within").

P87 is identified by (identifies)

The second paragraph of Scope Note, which contained redundant information about the subclasses of the range of the property, was dropped.

P88 consists of (forms part of)

was not deprecated for lack of time. (By the way, the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of Scope Note for P89 makes no more sense in the CIDOC CRM Definition, now that P88 has been deprecated).

P92 brought into existence (was brought into existence by)

Redundant information about subclasses of range was dropped from Scope Note.

A new example was substituted from the example in CIDOC CRM, which is not quite relevant as an example as it is actually an example for the P98 subproperty rather than the P92 superproperty. This new example reads as follows: "The birth of Smokey Bear (E63) brought into existence Smokey Bear (E20)".

P93 took out of existence (was taken out of existence by)

A new example was substituted from the example in CIDOC CRM, which is not quite relevant as an example as it is actually an example for the P100 subproperty rather than the P93 superproperty. This new example reads as follows: “The death of Smokey Bear on November 9, 1976 (E64) *took out of existence* Smokey Bear (E20)”.

P97 from father (was father)

Example given in domain-to-range direction.

P129 is about (is subject of)

First paragraph of Scope Note is slightly different.

P130 shows features of (features are also found on)

Scope Note: a sentence was added in order to introduce P130.1.

P131 is identified by (identifies)

Scope Note: the second sentence was dropped, as it is redundant with the “Subproperty of” declaration.

P136 was based on (supported type creation)

Scope Note has an additional paragraph in order to introduce P136.1.

P138 represents (has representation)

Example was rephrased (replacement of “a Swiss coin” with “the Swiss coin held by the Department of Coins and Medals of the British Museum under registration number 2009,1101.137”).

P139 has alternative form

Scope Note reflects the wording dated 11/07/2007 in version 4.2.4 of CIDOC CRM, which was modified in later versions. As a consequence, the wording in ISO 21127 is closer to the wording in the List of Amendments (p. 99 of version 5.1) than in the Property Declarations (p. 73 of version 5.1). Those later modifications were not documented in the List of Amendments.

P142 used constituent (was used in)

Only two Examples were kept.

P147 curated (was curated by)

Examples slightly reworded.

P150 defines typical parts of (defines typical wholes for)

P151 was formed from (participated in)

P152 has parent (is parent of)

For lack of time, these three properties were not introduced in ISO 21127.